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Abstract

Background: The first permanent molar is susceptible to acquire tooth decay since its eruption due to its anatomy and because it has been exposed before the other teeth. Methods: An observational, prolective, transversal, and comparative study in 194 students, with a mean age of 9.9 ± 1.8 years. The evaluation of the dentobacterial plaque (DBP) was analyzed using the O’Leary index and the tooth decay experience with the DMFS (sum of decayed, missing, extracted and filling dental surfaces) and DMFT (sum of decayed, missing, extracted and filling per tooth) indexes. Results: The prevalence of DBP in the first permanent molar was of 99.4% and tooth decay of 57.2%. The value of DMFT was 1.4 ± 1.4. The tooth decay experience was higher in children from 7-10 years old with a value of 2.2 ± 2.3, who are 7.9 times more likely to develop lesions than younger children (odds ratio (OR) = 8.9; confidence interval (CI) 95% 4.1-19.5, p <0.0001). We found an association between age and the values of the tooth decay experience indexes; even though these were weak in the case of DMF (r = 0.439), the model allowed to explain 19% of the association, and 22% for DMFT (r = 0.464). Conclusions: Tooth decay develops rapidly in the first permanent molars; however, it does not receive the necessary care because it is usually unknown that it is a permanent tooth.
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Prevalencia de placa dentobacteriana y caries dental en el primer molar permanente en una población escolar del sur de la Ciudad de México

Resumen

Introducción: El primer molar permanente (PMP) es susceptible de caries a partir de su erupción por su anatomía y porque ha estado expuesto antes que otros dientes. Métodos: Estudio observational, prolectivo, transversal, comparativo, en 194 escolares con una media de edad de 9.9 ± 1.8 años. La valoración de la placa dentobacteriana (PDB) se realizó con el índice de O’Leary, y la experiencia de caries con los índices CPOS (suma de superficies dentales cariadas, perdidas/extraídas y obturadas) y CPOD (suma de dientes cariados, perdidos/extraídos y obturados). Resultados: La prevalencia de PDB...
Introduction

Dental caries is a widespread disease which has been and continues to be the most frequent chronic disease in the modern world. It is defined as a chronic dynamic process or disease that occurs in the tooth structure when in contact with an accumulation of bacterial plaque, specifically with microbial deposits. As a result of the imbalance between the dental substance and the dental plaque fluid, a mineral loss of the tooth surface occurs, distinguished by the localized destruction of hard tissue.

The World Health Organization states that dental caries is still considered one of the conditions that most compromises oral health. 98% of the world population suffers from dental caries and approximately 90% of school children between 5 and 17 years old suffer from it. In the scientific literature, it is reported that dental caries presents a sustained increase with age, at 7 years old the DMFT index value (sum of teeth that are Decayed, Missing or Filled) is 1.7 teeth, but at 14 years old this value increases to 7.4 teeth.

Dental caries has been considered the most important disease in the history of oral morbidity worldwide. Currently, its distribution and severity vary from one region to another, and its appearance is mostly associated with socio-cultural, economic, environmental and behavioral factors. Although its prevalence has decreased in the industrialized countries, it still affects between 60-90% of both child and adult populations.

Scientific evidence shows that a good personal oral hygiene reduces the experience of dental caries. Though, there is little evidence to attribute the preventive value of good oral hygiene in dental caries. However, due to the importance of the dental plaque presence in the development of caries, oral hygiene is critical in the control of this pathology in a high-risk patient. Studies have reported the loss of the first permanent molar in 63.9% of cases of poor oral hygiene, which means that, when oral hygiene becomes difficult due to an impairment age, the risk of dental caries increases.

Dental caries develops rapidly in the first permanent molars and can evolve—within only six months—from an incipient lesion to advanced lesions with marked exposure of the pulp chamber, so the extraction of affected molars is frequent. Parents often do not know what a permanent tooth is, so they do not give the necessary importance to their care as they have low motivation for oral health. Unfortunately, it is for this reason that the loss of the first permanent molar occurs at an early age.

The presence of the first permanent molar is important because it determines the chewing pattern that the individual will have during his life. Also, it has a unique role by performing most of the work of chewing and crushing food. This molar is susceptible to caries from its eruption, around 6 years of age, either because of its anatomy or because it is exposed to caries before other teeth. Potential sequelae of the first permanent molar loss include mesial migration and overeruption due to the loss of interocclusal space, among others.

The first permanent molar is a tooth structure that determines the development of dental occlusion. By occupying an ample space in the posterior dental area, its presence determines the balance of the occlusion and an adequate masticatory function. It is also one of the first permanent teeth that accompany the child’s primary dentition, transforming it into a mixed dentition.

In this context, it was considered necessary to investigate the prevalence of dentobacterial plaque (DBP) and dental caries in the first permanent molar and to evaluate the association of sex, age, and DBP as risk factors for dental caries in a school population in the south of Mexico City.

Methods

An observational, prolective, cross-sectional, comparative study was conducted in a population of 194 students selected by a non-probabilistic convenience...
sampling. The inclusion criteria included: students that had at least two permanent first molars (PFM) and that had the parent or guardian informed consent; the exclusion criterion was the scholar’s desire to not participate in the study, despite having informed consent.

The research began with the calibration of the clinical diagnosis of dental caries, obtaining reliability of k = 0.94 (confidence interval (CI) 95% 83-100). Subsequently, we proceeded to the clinical assessment of DBP with the O’Leary index (percentage of dental surfaces covered with bacterial plaque), and of dental caries with the DMFS (sum of surfaces that are Decayed, Missing or Filled) and DMFT indexes.

The clinical assessment of DBP and dental caries was carried out in a dental office located inside the primary school. All children were assessed before the recess, to avoid the presence of increased DBP produced by the extra food intake during the break.

Data were analyzed with the statistical package SPSS 11.5 (SPSS Inc. Michigan IL, USA), with this purpose the descriptive statistics (relative frequencies) of the study variables were obtained. Statistical significance of the quantitative variables was assessed with Student’s t-test and of the qualitative variables with the X² test at a significance level of 95%. Also, the dental caries risk estimate was calculated through the odds ratio (OR) to determine the probable relationship between the variables sex, age, and DBP with the presence of dental caries. For the PFM, a simple linear regression model was performed.

### Results

The study population consisted of 194 students, selected by a non-probabilistic convenience sampling, attending the public primary school Anton Semionovich Makarenko, located in the Coyoacán borough in the south of Mexico City. The average age was 9.0 ± 1.8 years (range 6-13); 53% of the students (102) were males.

The prevalence of DBP in the PFM was 99.4% of the total number of students. Table 1 shows that the proportion of students with more than 20% of the PFM dental surfaces covered by DBP was higher for males and schoolchildren >7.09 years of age. The O’Leary index value for the school population was 48.1% (95% confidence interval (CI) 46.3, 49.7).

The prevalence of caries in the PFM of the study population was 57.2%. The presence of caries in the PFM evaluated with the DMFT index was 1.4 ± 1.4; the highest caries category had a value of 1.2 ± 1.3. In the analysis by sex, the highest value was for the female sex (1.5 ± 1.4). The highest index value by age group was for >7.09 years, with a DMFT of 1.7 ± 1.4; this difference was statistically significant compared to those of younger age. For students with >20% of dental surfaces covered with DBP, the index was 1.5 ± 1.4, with a statistically significant difference compared to those with ≤20% of surfaces covered with bacterial plaque (Table 2).

The DMFS index value was 1.7 ± 2.2 with the highest caries category and had an average of 1.5 ± 1.8. In the analysis by sex, the highest value was in the female sex 1.8 ± 2.3. By age group, the highest DMFS index value was 2.2 ± 2.3 in schoolchildren >7.09 years, with statistically significant differences compared to children under that age. For students with >20% of surfaces covered with DBP, the index value was 1.8 ± 2.3 (Table 3).

Of the analyzed risk factors, the exposure time measured by the age showed that schoolchildren >7.09 years old have a 7.9 times higher risk of dental caries in the PFM compared to children under that age, with a statistically significant difference (OR = 8.9, 95% CI= 4.1, 19.5; p > 0.0001). The DBP presence was also a risk factor to present caries in the PFM, with a 2.1 times higher value in schoolchildren that present >20% of surfaces covered by DBP (OR = 3.1, 95% CI= 1.3, 7.4; p <0.01) (Table 4).

In the simple linear regression analysis (Figure 1) an association was found between the predictor variable (age) and the response variables (DMFS and DMFT indices). Even though the association was weak—according to Colton criteria—the model explains 19% of the association between age and DMFS (r = 0.43,
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### Discussion

Dental caries is one of the most prevalent oral diseases and the primary cause of tooth loss. The PFM is the most susceptible tooth to the acids produced by the DBP bacteria because of its early appearance in the oral cavity, morphology and functional characteristics.

Several studies show that the dental caries prevalence is in a range of 60% to 90%. Concerning the PFM caries prevalence, a study conducted in the Tláhuac borough in Mexico City showed a 58.6% prevalence, a value slightly higher than that found in the present study, which was 57.2%. Also, the female sex had a higher number of PFMs with dental caries, a similar finding to the reported in this study.

In a study conducted in Chile, Zaror et al. reported a caries prevalence in the PFM of 68%, a higher number than the one obtained in the current work.

In another study conducted in Cuba by Quesada et al. (2010) a 57.6% caries prevalence in the PFM in female minors was reported, a value similar to the found in the present work. The authors pointed out that the age group

### Table 2. Mean and standard deviation per DMFT index category of the permanent first molar, by sex, age, and DBP covered areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Decayed</th>
<th>Filled</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>DMFT</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 7.09ᵃ</td>
<td>0.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 7.09</td>
<td>1.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.4)ᵇ</td>
<td>2.3 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Leary index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
<td>0.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20%ᵇ</td>
<td>1.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.4)ᵇ</td>
<td>2.5 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMFT: (sum of teeth that are Decayed, Missing or Filled) Index of dental caries per tooth unit.  
ᵃ25th percentile, no risk; ᵇdental caries risk, percentage of surfaces covered with dentobacterial plaque; ᶜ\(p < 0.0001\), Student’s t-test; ᵈ\(p < 0.04\).

### Table 3. Mean and standard deviation per DMFS index category for the permanent first molar, by sex, age, and DBP covered areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Decayed</th>
<th>Filled</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>DMFS</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.4 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>18.0 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.8 (2.3)</td>
<td>17.6 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 7.09ᵃ</td>
<td>0.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>18.2 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 7.09</td>
<td>1.9 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.2 (2.3)ᵇ</td>
<td>17.7 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Leary index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 20%</td>
<td>1.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>18.0 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20%ᵇ</td>
<td>1.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (2.3)</td>
<td>17.8 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>17.8 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMFS: Index of dental caries per dental surface.  
ᵃ25th percentile, no risk; ᵇdental caries risk, the percentage of surfaces covered with dentobacterial plaque; ᶜ\(p < 0.0001\), Student’s t-test.

### Table 4. Risk factors for dental caries in the permanent first molar of school-age children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>(p ) valueᵃ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 7.09 años</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.1-19.5</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20% dental surfaces covered with DBP</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.3-7.4</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8-2.5</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio, univariate analysis; CI, 95% confidence interval; DBP, dentobacterial plaque.  
ᵃ\(p \) value obtained by \(X^2\) test.
with the most significant affectation was the 12-14 years’ age group, similar to what we found in the current work. Interestingly, the study conducted by Rodríguez et al., also in a Cuban population, reported a caries prevalence in the PFM of 52.4% in the male sex, unlike the present results. Also, they found a high DBP prevalence by using the modified Green and Vermillion index where they observed regular oral hygiene in 80.7% of males and 80.1% of females.

In a study in which oral hygiene was assessed in school-age children using the simplified oral hygiene index (OHI-S), good dental hygiene was reported in 51.1%. Due to the type of index used, no reference is made to this study, however, the low prevalence of dental plaque was remarkable.

In Venezuela, a DBP prevalence study was conducted in which an O’Leary index of 43.1% in school-age children was reported, which agrees with the results of the current work, in which the high DBP prevalence is a risk factor to present dental caries.

A study conducted by Discacciati and Lértora, in Argentina, showed that the caries prevalence of the PFM was 52%, and was higher in the population of 7 years of age (58.3%). These data agree with the results of the present work.

Another study in Venezuela reported that the age group with more pathological conditions of the PFM was the one in the first decade of life, with a prevalence of 70%. A similar finding was reported in the present investigation.

Moreno et al. conducted a study in Mexico City in which a high prevalence of caries was reported in school-age children of Mexico City public schools.

In contrast, the results of Meneses et al. differed with the current work since the caries prevalence in the PFM was of only 20.3% in a population of school-age children in the city of Medellin.

The DBP has the most important role in the appearance of dental caries; however, it is not the only element that intervenes in its development since not all bacterial-forming colonies within the biofilm affect dental tissues. Dental caries is associated with multiple biological, systemic and socioeconomic risk factors, whose combination can modify the course and aggressiveness of the disease, so it is necessary to search and analyze other risk factors.
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