Neutrophilic-lymphocytes and platelet-lymphocytes ratios as predictors for acute perforated appendicitis in children

Raquel N. De La Cruz-Vallejo¹, Ely Quispe-Zaga², and Wendy Nieto-Gutiérrez^{3*}

¹Escuela de Medicina Humana, Universidad Nacional de San Cristóbal de Huamanga, Ayacucho; ²Servicio de Cirugía Pediátrica, Hospital Regional de Ayacucho, Ayacucho; ³Unidad de Investigación para la Generación de Síntesis de Evidencia en Salud, Vicerrectorado de Investigación, Universidad San Ignacio de Loyola, Lima. Perú

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to evaluate the neutrophil-lymphocyte (NLR) and platelet-lymphocyte (PLR) ratios as markers of perforated appendicitis. **Methods:** We conducted a cross-sectional analytical study. We performed a secondary analysis of a population of pediatric patients who underwent appendectomy between 2017 and 2019 at the Regional Hospital of Ayacucho, Peru. Logistic regression models were used to analyze markers (NLR and PLR) and perforated appendicitis. Later, ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curves were constructed, and sensitivity, specificity, and likelihood ratios were estimated. **Results:** We identified 31% of perforated appendicitis in 203 patients. A significant association was observed between perforated appendicitis and NLR values > 10.4 (odds ratio [OR]: 2.53; 95% confidence interval [95% CI]: 1.27-5.05) and PLR > 284 (OR: 2.11; 95% CI: 1.09-4.08) in the adjusted analysis. For these models, the areas under the curve were 0.74 (95% CI: 0.67 - 0.81) for both variables. With a cut-off point of 30% probability of perforated appendicitis, we observed sensitivity of 77.78% for both NLR and PLR (likelihood ratio +2.37 and +2.14, respectively), and specificity of 67.14% and 63.57% for NLR and PLR (likelihood ratio -0.33), respectively. **Conclusions:** Our study showed a significant association between NLR and PLR and acute perforated appendicitis. Future studies should validate the model and corroborate the performance of these markers.

Keywords: Appendicitis. Pediatrics. Neutrophils. Blood platelets. Lymphocyte count.

Relación neutrófilos-linfocitos y relación plaquetas-linfocitos como predictores para apendicitis aguda perforada en niños

Resumen

Introducción: El objetivo del estudio fue evaluar la relación neutrófilos-linfocitos (RNL) y la relación plaquetas-linfocitos (RPL) como marcadores de apendicitis perforada. **Métodos:** Se llevó a cabo un estudio analítico transversal. Se realizó el análisis secundario de una población de pacientes pediátricos sometidos a apendicectomía, entre 2017 y 2019, en el Hospital Regional de Ayacucho, Perú. Para el análisis de los marcadores (RNL y RPL) y la apendicitis perforada se utilizaron modelos de regresión logística, de los cuales se construyeron curvas ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) y se estimaron la sensibilidad, la especificidad y la razón de verosimilitud. **Resultados:** Se identificó apendicitis perforada en el 31% de un total de 203 pacientes. Se observó una asociación significativa entre la apendicitis perforada y los valores > 10.4 de RNL (razón de momios [RM]: 2.53; intervalo de confianza del 95% [IC 95%]: 1.27-5.05) y > 284 de PLR (RM: 2.11; IC 95% 1.09 - 4.08) en el análisis ajustado. Para estos modelos, las áreas bajo la curva fueron de 0.74 (IC 95%: 0.67 - 0.81) para

Correspondence:

*Wendy Nieto-Gutiérrez

E-mail: wendy_nieto22@hotmail.com

Date of reception: 28-03-2021

Date of acceptance: 29-07-2021

DOI: 10.24875/BMHIM.21000057

Available online: 16-12-2021

Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex. 2021;78(6):557-564

www.bmhim.com

1665-1146/© 2021 Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez. Published by Permanyer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

ambas variables. Con un punto de corte del 30% de probabilidad de apendicitis perforada se observa una sensibilidad del 77.78% tanto para RNL como para RPL (razón de verosimilitud +2.37 y +2.14, respectivamente), y una especificidad del 67.14% y el 63.57% para RNL y RPL (razón de verosimilitud -0.33). **Conclusiones:** Este estudio mostró una asociación significativa de RNL y RPL y la apendicitis aguda perforada. Futuros estudios deberán validar el modelo elaborado y corroborar el desempeño de dichos marcadores.

Palabras clave: Apendicitis. Pediatría. Neutrófilos. Plaquetas sanguíneas. Recuento de linfocitos.

Introduction

Acute appendicitis (AA) is the most common diagnosis requiring emergency surgery in the pediatric population¹, with a prevalence of 69% in this age group², of which 30-75% of cases progress to perforated appendicitis³.

According to some reports, the risk of progression from acute to perforated appendicitis in children is high⁴, with a mortality risk of $\approx 50\%$. This risk is higher than in the general population^{5,6}, mainly due to the difficulty in diagnosing the complication, difficulties in physician-patient communication, and the absence of classic symptoms, resulting in delays in early treatment^{7,8}.

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) are emerging as simple, low-cost markers that provide information on the action of two immune and inflammatory pathways^{9,10}. These parameters have even been proposed as potential markers for predicting perforated appendicitis¹¹⁻¹³ because they relate to innate immunity, which has an initial action on the inflammatory process and the long-term response of the immune system. Although studies have been performed in adult and elderly populations, there is uncertainty about using these markers in the pediatric population.

In countries with scarce health resources, such as Peru, these markers could help to identify patients at potential risk of perforated appendicitis in order to prioritize their admission to surgical wards, considering that surgical care times for appendicitis are usually long, which could lead to worse outcomes in this group of patients^{14,15}. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the potential clinical utility of NLR and PLR as markers for the early diagnosis of acute perforated appendicitis in the pediatric population.

Methods

Study design

We conducted an observational, analytical cross-sectional study in which we performed a

secondary analysis of a database of pediatric patients attended at the Regional Hospital of Ayacucho.

Study population, sample, and selection criteria

The study population consisted of all pediatric patients under 16 years of age at the time of diagnosis and who underwent appendectomy for acute appendicitis at the Regional Hospital of Ayacucho between January 2017 and December 2019.

For the present analysis, we obtained a non-random sample, in which we included all patients with an intra-operative diagnosis of acute appendicitis. We excluded those patients < 5 years of age (due to the essential physiological differences in lymphocyte counts below this age)¹⁶ who reported having pathologies that altered the NLR and PLR¹⁷, who had consumed any drug before admission, and who did not have any of the variables of interest reported.

Procedures

The necessary permissions were requested for access to the patient database and patient medical records. An author verified the data reliability by contrasting the information collected with the clinical history of each patient. Considering previous research, we also included variables that were not initially included but were necessary for the study and added them to the database^{11,18}.

Outcome: perforated appendicitis

The diagnosis of non-perforated appendicitis was defined as congestive or catarrhal, phlegmonous or suppurative, gangrenous or necrotic appendicitis, and without macroscopic perforations or free fluid. In contrast, perforated appendicitis was defined by the presence of macroscopic perforations in the appendix and the presence of free intra-abdominal fluid. This variable was assessed based on the intraoperative report of each patient.

Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of the predictive models. **A.** Predictive model for acute appendicitis and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, adjusted for age, sex, and leukocytes. **B.** Predictive model for acute appendicitis and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, adjusted for age, sex, and leukocytes.

Independent variables

The leading independent variables were the NLR and PLR ratios. To obtain the NLR value, we divided the absolute number of neutrophils by the absolute number of lymphocytes, and for PLR, the absolute number of platelets by the absolute number of lymphocytes, as recommended elsewhere¹⁶. We decided to categorize the NLR and PLR variables considering the established cut-off points for the pediatric population proposed in published studies¹⁸. Therefore, categorical variables were obtained for both cases (NLR: ≤ 10.4 and > 10.4 ; PLR: ≤ 284 and > 284).

Other variables were also evaluated, such as sex (male and female) and age (years), and clinical characteristics, such as fever, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. Also, laboratory values such as leukocytes (≤ 15000 and > 15000 cells/mm³), neutrophils, lymphocytes, and their absolute values from the complete blood count were performed when the patient was admitted to the hospital. All the laboratory values were processed at the Regional Hospital of Ayacucho.

Statistical analysis

We used the Stata v.15 statistical software for data analysis. A descriptive analysis of the study population was performed using absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables and central tendency and dispersion measures for numerical variables. The

distribution of the variables was evaluated using quantiles plots.

The relationship between NLR and PLR and perforated appendicitis was calculated using logistic regression models, in which the odds ratio (OR) and their respective confidence intervals (95%CI) were obtained. To develop multiple models, we considered including known variables that could affect the primary relationship, such as age, sex, leukocytes $> 15\,000$ cells/mm³, as reported previously^{5,19}. In addition, a sensitivity analysis was performed to compare the model created, including the confounding variables and the NLR/PLR vs. the model without the NLR/PLR variables, to determine the contribution of the primary variables NLR and PLR.

For the selected multiple regression models, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted, and their respective areas under the curve (AUC) were estimated. A cut-off point of 0.29 was chosen as the probability of having the outcome because it reports a better balance between its sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio (LR+), and negative likelihood ratio (LR-) values (Figure 1).

Ethical aspects

The present study is based on secondary analysis. No individuals were contacted, so the risks were minimal. Anonymity and confidentiality of the participants' data were maintained by coding each one of the individuals.

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patients with appendicitis (n = 203)

Variable		Perforated appendicitis		p-values
	n (%)	No 140 (68.97)	Yes 63 (31.03)	
Sociodemographic characteristics				
Sex				0.007
Male	110 (54.19)	67 (60.91)	43 (39.09)	
Female	93 (45.81)	73 (78.49)	20 (21.51)	
Age	10.98 ± 3.10*	11.39 ± 3.04*	10.08 ± 3.07*	0.005
Clinical features				
Fever				0.002
No	137 (67.49)	104 (75.91)	33 (24.09)	
Yes	66 (32.51)	36 (54.55)	30 (45.45)	
Abdominal pain				0.501
No	1 (0.49)	1 (100)	0 (0)	
Yes	202 (99.51)	139 (68.81)	63 (31.19)	
Vomiting				0.116
No	4 (46.31)	70 (74.47)	24 (25.53)	
Yes	109 (53.69)	70 (64.22)	39 (35.78)	
Nausea				0.031
No	170 (83.74)	112 (65.88)	58 (34.12)	
Yes	33 (16.26)	28 (84.85)	5 (15.15)	
Diarrhea				0.451
No	185 (91.13)	129 (69.73)	56 (30.27)	
Yes	18 (8.87)	11 (61.11)	7 (38.89)	
Laboratory values				
Leukocytes	12.97 ± 5.28*	11.73 ± 5.05*	15.73 ± 4.73*	< 0.001
≤ 15000 cells/mm ³	126 (62.07)	99 (78.57)	27 (21.43)	
> 15000 cells/m ³	77 (37.93)	41 (53.25)	36 (46.75)	
Neutrophils	79.20 ± 13.20*	76.16 ± 14.12*	85.97 ± 7.28*	< 0.001
Absolute value of neutrophils	10.73 ± 5.28*	9.42 ± 5.11*	13.64 ± 4.46*	< 0.001
Lymphocytes	14.67 ± 11.80*	17.49 ± 12.65*	8.40 ± 6.09*	< 0.001
Absolute value of lymphocytes	1.49 ± 0.83*	1.62 ± 0.85*	1.20 ± 0.73*	0.001
Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio	10.81 ± 10.32*	8.40 ± 7.93*	16.16 ± 12.78*	< 0.001
≤ 10.4	124 (61.08)	99 (79.84)	25 (20.16)	
> 10.4	79 (38.92)	41 (51.9)	38 (48.1)	
Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio	269.45 ± 183.98*	233.96 ± 139.00*	348.32 ± 240.43*	< 0.001
≤ 284	134 (66.01)	103 (76.87)	31 (23.13)	
>284	69 (33.99)	37 (53.62)	32 (46.38)	

Results

Of the 232 pediatric patients surgically intervened for AA at the Regional Hospital of Ayacucho between 2017 and 2019, we excluded 25 due to the absence of data on the variables under study, and four medical records were reported as missing. The final population study was of 203 patients with AA (mean age 10.9 ± 3.1), of which 31.0% presented perforated appendicitis.

Of this population, 32.5%, 99.5%, 53.7%, 16.3%, and 8.9% presented with fever, abdominal pain, vomiting, nausea, and diarrhea, respectively. Furthermore, within the laboratory values we obtained a mean of 10.7 ± 5.3 neutrophils (absolute value) and 1.5 ± 0.8 lymphocytes (absolute value) (Table 1). We observed that 37.9% showed values >15000 cells/mm³ of leukocytes, 38.9% values >10.4 in NLR, and 33.9% values >284 in PLR.

Table 2. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio as predictors of acute perforated appendicitis

		Bivariate analysis		Multiple regression*		AUC	Cut-off point**	Sensitivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
		OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI						
Model for NLR											
NLR											
≤ 10.4	Reference		Reference		0.74 (0.67-0.81)	0.29	77.78%	67.14%	2.37	0.33	
> 10.4	3.67	1.97-6.84	2.53	1.27-5.05							
Age	0.87	0.79-0.96	0.90	0.81-1.00							
Sex											
Female	Reference		Reference								
Male	0.43	0.23-0.80	0.45	0.23-0.88							
Leukocytes											
≤ 15000	Reference		Reference								
> 15000	3.22	1.74-5.97	2.01	0.26-3.54							
Model for PLR											
PLR											
≤ 284	Reference		Reference		0.74 (0.67-0.81)	0.29	77.78%	63.57%	2.14	0.33	
>284	2.87	1.54-5.34	2.11	1.09-4.08							
Age	0.87	0.79-0.96	0.91	0.82-1.02							
Sex											
Female	Reference		Reference								
Male	0.43	0.23-0.80	0.48	0.24-0.92							
Leukocytes											
≤ 15000	Reference		Reference								
> 15000	3.22	1.74-5.97	2.71	1.42-5.18							

*Adjusted for sex, age, and leukocytes. **Cut-off point for probability.

The estimation of the area under the curve, sensitivity, specificity, and likelihood ratio was performed using the multiple regression model.

AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; LR, likelihood ratio; NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-lymphocyte ratio; OR, odds ratio.

Also, a statistically significant difference was found between the variables sex, age, fever, nausea, leukocytes, neutrophils, lymphocytes, NLR, and PLR, with perforated appendicitis (Table 1).

Predictive model

In the bivariate analysis, we found a significant association between NLR (> 10.4; OR: 3.67; 95%CI 1.97 - 6.84) and PLR values (> 284; OR: 2.87; 95%CI 1.54 - 5.34) with perforated appendicitis, which was maintained when performing the adjusted analysis,

where values > 10.4 and > 284 of NLR (OR: 2.53; 95%CI 1.27 - 5.05) and PLR (OR: 2.11; 95%CI 1.09 - 4.08), respectively, were associated with an increased risk of perforated appendicitis (Table 2).

When comparing the multiple regression models with and with no NLR and PLR variables, we observed an improvement in the values of the likelihood ratios and pseudo R^2 when adding the NLR (with no variables: log-likelihood = -113.25, pseudo R^2 = 0.099; with variables: log-likelihood = -109.76, pseudo R^2 = 0.127) and PLR (with no variables: log-likelihood = -113.25, pseudo

$R^2 = 0.099$; with variables: log-likelihood = -110.82, pseudo $R^2 = 0.118$). Considering that NLR and PLR variables contributed significantly to the multiple regression models, we decided to select the models that included the main variables.

Both models created for NLR and PLR performed well as markers of perforated appendicitis with an AUC of 0.74 (0.67 - 0.81) for both variables.

Finally, for a cut-off point of the probability of having perforated appendicitis of 30%, we observed sensitivity of 77.78% for both NLR and PLR (likelihood ratio +2.37 and +2.14, respectively), and a specificity of 67.14% and 63.57% for NLR and PLR (likelihood ratio -0.33), respectively.

Discussion

Our study evaluated a population of patients with AA to assess two potential markers for the diagnosis of perforated appendicitis. Besides abdominal pain, we found that vomiting was present in more than half of the children with AA, consistent with a previous study conducted in Turkey, where 100% and 56% of the children indicated abdominal pain and presented vomiting, respectively¹⁸. In contrast, another study in the United States reported absent symptoms in children with pathologically established appendicitis⁸.

While it is true that these symptoms and their severity could be helpful for diagnosis, we must consider that many of the clinical data are referred by the patient, which makes them of little use in young children due to their limited ability to communicate their symptoms²⁰. Furthermore, there is no typical pattern in the clinical features of AA in children, so complementary diagnostic tools are required to diagnose appendicitis and detect complications such as perforation⁸.

Perforated appendicitis

We found perforated appendicitis in more than one-third of the population studied. Similar figures have been reported in Turkey²¹ and the United States⁷, where approximately 30% and 24% of children, respectively, presented with perforated appendicitis. The percentage found is higher than other age groups, which may be because the diagnosis of appendicitis in children is generally difficult and may progress to perforated appendicitis^{6,20,22}.

Perforated appendicitis occurs more commonly in young children because they are less able to understand or articulate their developing symptomatology

compared with adolescents. Therefore, it impacts low diagnostic accuracy in this age group⁵ and is associated with a delay in inpatient surgical treatment, subsequently leading to a potential risk of perforation³. Our findings corroborate this fact, as the patients with perforation were young.

A higher frequency of perforation was observed in males (39.09% vs. 21.51%), similar to a study in Germany, in which males presented with perforated appendicitis more frequently (66%)²³. This higher frequency could be mainly due to differences in the immune response and differences in the characteristics of the intestinal connective tissue between males and females^{24,25}. In this regard, it has been observed that women have higher levels of immune activation and higher gene expression associated with inflammation in intestinal mucosa samples, which, in theory, could translate into a lower incidence of perforated appendicitis cases.

Consistent with a study in China¹³, we also found that leukocytes (> 15000 cells/mm³), neutrophils, and lymphopenia were significantly higher in those patients with perforated appendicitis. As both leukocytes and neutrophils are part of the acute inflammatory response, their increase would be involved in the appendix inflammation process and, consequently, its perforation⁹. Lymphopenia is a marker of stress²⁶ and infectious pathologies²⁷, and its reduction is associated with the progression of appendicitis infection, especially after 6 hours²⁸.

Markers for perforated appendicitis

NLR is a commonly available biomarker that conveys information about inflammatory conditions¹⁰ because neutrophils signal and are part of the immune response, which helps the body initiate and maintain a sustained response⁹. Therefore, it would be expected that the higher the NLR value, the more excessive and uncontrolled the immune response will be due to tissue destruction mediated by the inflammatory process, leading to perforation²⁹. In this case, predictive models have reported that NLR values > 10.4 would more precisely indicate the development of perforated appendicitis¹⁸.

We evaluated the NLR considering a cut-off point of 10.4 and found a statistically significant association between NLR and perforated appendicitis, with a sensitivity of approximately 78% and a specificity of 67% for a probability of perforated appendicitis of 29%.

Although the use of NLR as a diagnostic marker in perforated appendicitis has been previously studied, primarily adult and elderly populations have been included¹¹⁻¹³. Higher sensitivities were found in a South Korean (78%), and a Turkish (81%) study, whereas a lower sensitivity was reported in another study conducted in Turkey (64%). Additionally, these three studies reported lower specificity (66%, 53%, and 64%, respectively). These results may be because NLR measurement can potentially be impaired in adults and elderly individuals due to increased NLR when one of the following pathologies is present: high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, metabolic syndrome³⁰, left ventricular dysfunction, acute coronary syndrome, valvular heart disease, abnormal thyroid function, renal or hepatic dysfunction, malignancy^{31,32}, local or systemic infection, previous history of infection (< 3 months), inflammatory disease, any medications related to the inflammatory condition and obesity^{17,33}. In contrast, these conditions are not commonly found in pediatric patients. For this case, we found only one study that evaluated the NLR as a predictor of complicated AA in the pediatric population, which reported similar sensitivity (61%) and specificity (73%) values to those in our population¹⁸. Therefore, these findings could suggest that the use of this marker would be reproducible in different populations.

We also found a good performance of the final model, including NLR (AUC = 0.74) with relatively higher values than those reported in studies from Turkey¹² and Korea¹¹, which may be a consequence of the differences in the included population¹⁷. However, the values were similar to those reported in a pediatric population in Turkey¹⁸, with an NLR performance of only 0.71. If adjusted for other known predictors, this value could have been higher or even equal to that observed in our population.

Furthermore, we found a higher probability of perforated appendicitis for PLR values > 284, with a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 64% in the final model. These percentages are far from those reported in studies conducted in Turkey, both in adult¹³ and pediatric populations¹⁸. In general, PLR can be affected by the lymphocyte count, which is influenced by physical and psychological stress, smoking, pregnancy, and others¹⁶, or even by the platelet count, due to the sampling time, processing, and equipment used for blood analysis³⁴. Therefore, the performance of this marker may vary under these circumstances and could present changes in sensitivity and specificity. Regardless,

these values demonstrated that PLR could be a good marker for perforated appendicitis.

The hypothesis of the usefulness of this marker originates from the fact that platelets accumulate at sites of vascular injury or inflammation to maintain the leukocytes recruitment necessary for immunopathological responses. Therefore, in the presence of a more significant inflammatory response, platelets increase³⁵ and, consequently, the PLR ratio. In this case, we found a good performance of the obtained model, including PLR (AUC = 0.74).

The adequate performance of both NLR and PLR as markers in the development of perforated appendicitis has been demonstrated. However, future studies are required to validate the proposed models, especially in longitudinal designs, for the sole purpose of verifying the performance of these markers.

Strength and limitations

The present work is one of the few studies exploring markers of appendix perforation in a pediatric population from blood tests, which are commonly used and available in emergency departments. Furthermore, NLR and PLR analysis are affordable and easy to calculate in the clinical setting, making it an effective clinical assessment tool, a valuable complement, and an aid to risk stratification.

As these markers could be used to indicate appendix perforation, which would allow determining antibiotic coverage and timely use of laparoscopic surgery, further research based on these markers should be continued.

However, some limitations should be considered. First, patients < 5 years of age were not included, so our results cannot be extrapolated to the entire pediatric population. In addition, as this was an analysis of a secondary database, some critical variables could not be included in the final model, such as the time elapsed since symptom onset.

In future research on this topic, we recommend a prospective study with a larger sample, considering some methodological, physiological, and pathological confounding factors, which could make the significance of NLR and PLR analysis in pediatric perforated appendicitis more powerful.

The present study evidenced an adequate performance of NLR and PLR as markers of perforated appendicitis. NLR values >10.4 and PLR > 284 were significantly associated with perforated appendicitis in pediatric patients. Future studies should validate the

proposed models, including variables not contemplated in this study and longitudinal designs.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the written informed consent of the patients or subjects mentioned in the article. The corresponding author has this document.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

None.

References

- Barrett ML, Hines AL, Andrews RM. Trends in rates of perforated appendix, 2001–2010. HCUP Statistical Brief #159. Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2013.
- Tseng YC, Lee MS, Chang YJ, Wu HP. Acute abdomen in pediatric patients admitted to the pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Neonatol.* 2008;49:126-34.
- Papandria D, Goldstein SD, Rhee D, Salazar JH, Arlikar J, Gorgy A, et al. Risk of perforation increases with delay in recognition and surgery for acute appendicitis. *J Surg Res.* 2013;184:723-9.
- Pham XD, Sullins VF, Kim DY, Range B, Kaji AH, de Virgilio CM, et al. Factors predictive of complicated appendicitis in children. *J Surg Res.* 2016;206:62-6.
- Singh M, Kadian YS, Rattan KN, Jangra B. Complicated appendicitis: analysis of risk factors in children. *Afr J Paediatr Surg.* 2014;11:109-13.
- Humes DJ, Simpson J. Acute appendicitis. *BMJ.* 2006;333:530-4.
- Ebell MH, Shinholser J. What are the most clinically useful cut-offs for the Alvarado and Pediatric Appendicitis Scores? A systematic review. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2014;64:365-72.e2.
- Glass CC, Rangel SJ. Overview and diagnosis of acute appendicitis in children. *Semin Pediatr Surg.* 2016;25:198-203.
- Nathan C. Neutrophils and immunity: challenges and opportunities. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2006;6:173-82.
- Balta S, Ozturk C, Balta I, Demirkol S, Demir M, Celik T, et al. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and inflammation. *Angiology.* 2016;67:298-9.
- Jung SK, Rhee DY, Lee WJ, Woo SH, Seol SH, Kim DH, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte count ratio is associated with perforated appendicitis in elderly patients of emergency department. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2017;29:529-36.
- Sevinç MM, Kinacı E, Çakar E, Bayrak S, Özakay A, Aren A, et al. Diagnostic value of basic laboratory parameters for simple and perforated acute appendicitis: an analysis of 3392 cases. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg.* 2016;22:155-62.
- Pehlivanlı F, Aydın O. Role of platelet to lymphocyte ratio as a biomedical marker for the pre-operative diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Surg Infect (Larchmt).* 2019;20:631-6.
- Bessoff KE, Forrester JD. Appendicitis in low-resource settings. *Surg Infect (Larchmt).* 2020;21:523-32.
- Kakembo N, Grabski DF, Fitzgerald TN, Muzira A, Cheung M, Kisa P, et al. Burden of surgical infections in a tertiary-care pediatric surgery service in Uganda. *Surg Infect (Larchmt).* 2020;21:130-5.
- Shete A, Thakar M, Abraham PR, Paranjape R. A review on peripheral blood CD4+ T lymphocyte counts in healthy adult Indians. *Indian J Med Res.* 2010;132:667-75.
- Balta S, Demirkol S, Unlu M, Arslan Z, Celik T. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio may be predict of mortality in all conditions. *Br J Cancer.* 2013;109:3125-6.
- Celik B, Nalcacioglu H, Ozcatal M, Altuner Torun Y. Role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in identifying complicated appendicitis in the pediatric emergency department. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg.* 2019;25:222-8.
- Poudel R, Bhandari TR. Risk factors for complications in acute appendicitis among paediatric population. *JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc.* 2017;56:145-8.
- Song CW, Kang JW, Kim JY. Different clinical features and lower scores in clinical scoring systems for appendicitis in preschool children: comparison with school-age onset. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr.* 2018;21:51-8.
- Turel O, Mirapoglu SL, Yuksel M, Ceylan A, Gultepe BS. Perforated appendicitis in children: antimicrobial susceptibility and antimicrobial stewardship. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist.* 2019;16:159-61.
- Rentea RM, Peter SDS, Snyder CL. Pediatric appendicitis: state of the art review. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2017;33:269-83.
- Boettcher M, Günther P, Breil T. The Heidelberg Appendicitis Score predicts perforated appendicitis in children. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* 2017;56:1115-9.
- Salö M, Ohlsson B, Ambjörnsson E, Stenström P. Appendicitis in children from a gender perspective. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2015;31:845-53.
- Sankaran-Walters S, Macal M, Grishina I, Nagy L, Goulart L, Coolidge K, et al. Sex differences matter in the gut: effect on mucosal immune activation and inflammation. *Biol Sex Differ.* 2013;4:10.
- Ramaekers LH, Theunissen PM, Went K. Acute lymphopenia, stress, and plasma cortisol. *Arch Dis Child.* 1975;50:555-8.
- Grossbard LJ, Desai MH, Lemeshow S, Teres D. Lymphocytopenia in the surgical intensive care unit patient. *Am Surg.* 1984;50:209-12.
- Devuyst O, Mالدague P, Francois P, Dekeuleneer R, Michaux JL. Time-course of lymphopenia in gangrenous appendicitis. *Lancet.* 1991;338:1074.
- Livingston EH, Woodward WA, Sarosi GA, Haley RW. Disconnect between incidence of non-perforated and perforated appendicitis: implications for pathophysiology and management. *Ann Surg.* 2007;245:886-92.
- Balta S, Demirkol S, Celik T, Kucuk U, Unlu M, Arslan Z, et al. Association between coronary artery ectasia and neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio. *Angiology.* 2013;64:627-32.
- Stotz M, Gerger A, Eisner F, Szkandera J, Loibner H, Ress AL, et al. Increased neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is a poor prognostic factor in patients with primary operable and inoperable pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer.* 2013;109:416-21.
- Szkandera J, Absenger G, Liegl-Atzwanger B, Pichler M, Stotz M, Samonigg H, et al. Elevated preoperative neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is associated with poor prognosis in soft-tissue sarcoma patients. *Br J Cancer.* 2013;108:1677-83.
- Furuncuoğlu Y, Tulgar S, Dogan AN, Cakar S, Tulgar YK, Cakiroglu B. How obesity affects the neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratio, systemic immune-inflammatory index and platelet indices: a retrospective study. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2016;20:1300-6.
- Isik A, Balcik OS, Akdeniz D, Cipil H, Uysal S, Kosar A. Relationship between some clinical situations, autoantibodies, and pseudothrombocytopenia. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* 2012;18:645-9.
- Li Z, Yang F, Dunn S, Gross AK, Smyth SS. Platelets as immune mediators: their role in host defense responses and sepsis. *Thromb Res.* 2011;127:184-8.